Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43384 Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell RN101676377 Docket No. 2012-0191-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Raymonds Shell, 401 South Ellis Street, Groesbeck, Limestone County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: July 27, 2012

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$10,992

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$2,198 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$8,794 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$0

Payment Plan: N/A **SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43384 Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell RN101676377 Docket No. 2012-0191-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: January 24, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): January 10, 2012

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tanks ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011 [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)].
- 2. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs. Specifically, 15 fuel deliveries were accepted without a valid delivery certificate [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a)].
- 3. Failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the annual line leak detector test had not been conducted [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:

- a. On February 7, 2011, obtained a valid delivery certificate from the TCEQ; and
- b. On February 14, 2011, conducted the annual line leak detector test with passing results.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 43384 Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell RN101676377 Docket No. 2012-0191-PST-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Nadia Hameed, Enforcement Division,

Enforcement Team 5, MC R-12, (713) 767-3629; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,

MC 219, (512) 239-0412.

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Raymond Wietzikoski, Owner, Raymonds Shell, 401 South Ellis Street,

Groesbeck, Texas 76642

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Assigned 17-Jan-2012 Screening 19-Jan-2012 **EPA** Due PCW 8-Feb-2012 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101676377 Facility/Site Region 9-Waco Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 43384 No. of Violations 3 Docket No. 2012-0191-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Nadia Hameed Multi-Media EC's Team Enforcement Team 5 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$14,000 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History \$0 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 No adjustment for compliance history. Notes Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No 0.0% Enhancement The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments \$3,500 Subtotal 5 Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Total EB Amounts *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$492 Approx. Cost of Compliance SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$10,500 Final Subtotal \$492 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 4.7% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Recommended enhancement to recover avoided cost of compliance for Notes violation nos. 1 and 3. Final Penalty Amount \$10,992 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT \$10,992

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

-\$2,198

\$8,794

20.0%

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only, e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Respondent Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell

Case ID No. 43384

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101676377

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Nadia Hameed

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	O	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non- adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
nuris	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
peat Violator (Subtotal 3)		
No	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 3)
malianca Histo	ry Person Classification (Subtotal 7)	- ,	
			essettettii (15966) _
Average Pe	rformer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
mpliance Histo	ry Summary		
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.		

Respondent Raymond Wiletzikoski dba Raymonds Shell Case ID No. 43384 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101676377 Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator Violation Number Rule Cite(s) Violation Description Violation Description Violation Description Violation Description Violation Description Violation Percent Violation Description Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011. Base Penalty S10,000 S10,000 S11,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101676377 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Nadia Hameed Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii) Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a property completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011. Base Penalty \$10,000 >> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 0% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 10% Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. **Adjustment** \$9,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly in the state of the property and the state of the property of the p
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Nadia Hameed Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii) Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011. Base Penalty \$10,000 >> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 0% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 10% Adjustment \$9,000 \$1,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly
Enf. Coordinator Nadia Hameed Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii) Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011. Base Penalty \$10,000 >> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 10% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment \$9,000 \$1,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events Adjustment \$9,000
Violation Number Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii) Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011. **Base Penalty** Base Penalty** \$10,000 **Programmatic** Release** Major** Moderate** Minor** **Actual** Potential** Percent** 10% **Matrix** Notes** 100% of the rule requirement was not met. **Adjustment** \$9,000 \$1,000 **Si,000 **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events** **Adjustment** \$9,000 **Si,000 **Si,000 **Si,000 **Si,000 **Adjustment** **Si,000
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii) Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a property completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011. Base Penalty \$10,000 **Percent
Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011. Base Penalty
Violation Description Violation Description Violation Description Violation Description Form at least 30 days before the expiration date, as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011. Base Penalty \$10,000 **Property and Human Health Matrix** Harm Release Major Moderate Minor Actual Potential Percent 0% **Percent 0% **Programmatic Matrix** Falsification Major Moderate Minor Falsification Major Moderate Minor Adjustment \$9,000 **Adjustment \$9,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days Matrix Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days Matrix Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix Harm Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual Potential Potential Percent 0% >> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Adjustment \$9,000 \$1,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly Matrix Mumber of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days
Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual Potential Percent 0% > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor X Percent 10% Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met.
Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual Potential Percent 0% > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor X Percent 10% Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met.
OR Actual Potential Percent 0% > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor
Potential Percent 0% > Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification Major Moderate Minor
Falsification Major Moderate Minor X
Falsification Major Moderate Minor X
Matrix Notes 100% of the rule requirement was not met. Adjustment \$9,000 \$1,000 Violation Events Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly weekly monthly
Notes Adjustment \$9,000
Notes Adjustment \$9,000
Notes Adjustment \$9,000
Violation Events Number of Violation Events 4
Violation Events Number of Violation Events 4
Violation Events Number of Violation Events 4
Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly
Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly
Number of Violation Events 4 1195 Number of violation days daily weekly monthly
daily weekly monthly
weekly monthly
weekly monthly
with an x quarterly semiannual annual single event Violation Base Penalty \$4,000
Four annual events are recommended for the missed years.
Good Faith Efforts to Comply \$1,000
Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary Extraordinary
Ordinary X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
N/A (mark with x)
The Respondent completed the corrective actions on
Notes February 7, 2011, prior to the January 10, 2012 NOE.
Violation Subtotal \$3,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test
Estimated EB Amount \$362 Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,141
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,141

					Percent Interest	Depreciation
, 1					5.0	15
Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$						
j						
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			-		#	\$0
						\$0
						\$0
				************	***************************************	\$0
	ļ				<u> </u>	\$0
	<u> </u>					\$0
	 					\$0 \$0
4400	1 2 2 2 2011	7 F L 2011	1			\$0 \$0
registration a						The Final Date
ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterii	ng item (except	for one-time avoid	ded costs)
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	*************		\$0
						\$0
						<u>\$0</u>
	<u> </u>					\$0
	oided cost (\$100	per full year) to	4.15 obtain	\$62 a TCEQ delivery o	\$300 ertificate by submit	\$362 ting a proper
	\$100 Estimated registration a \$300 Estimated av	\$100 24-Jan-2011 Estimated cost to obtain a cregistration and self-certification is to the self-certification of the the self-certification	\$100 24-Jan-2011 7-Feb-2011 Estimated cost to obtain a current TCEQ de registration and self-certification form. The Da is the date that a company of the date of the dat	No commos or \$ 0.00	No commas or \$	No commas or \$ No c

Screening Date		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell 43384	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
Enf. Coordinator	Petroleum Storage Tank Nadia Hameed_	
Violation Number Rule Cite(s)		
Rule Che(3)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3	467(a)
Violation Description	Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ d certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the l documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Sp 15 fuel deliveries were accepted without a valid delivery certificat	USTs, as pecifically,
	Bas	se Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual	HIRITIAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	7
Potential	x Percent 5%	J
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0%]
	or the environment will or could be exposed to an insignificant amount of not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental re	- *- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Adjustment	\$9,500
		\$500
Violation Events		
	/iolation Events 15 Number of violation	n davs
Number of	To add Events 13	days
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual	se Penalty \$7,500
	single event X	
	Fifteen single events are recommended for the 15 deliveries.	
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply 25.0% Reduction	\$1,875
	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	***************************************
	Ordinary x	
	N/A (mark with x)	
	Notes The Respondent completed the corrective actions on February 7, 2011, prior to the January 10, 2012 NOE.	
	Violation	n Subtotal \$5,625
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit	t Test
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$0 Violation Final Pen	alty Total \$5,889
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted f	for limits) \$5,889
	The state of the s	15/255

eo. Ent. Reference No. Media	RN101676377 Petroleum Sto					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	2					r creene anterest	Depreciation
						5.0	1.
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delaved Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs							
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
				0.00 n No	\$0 2 is included in the		\$0 \$1

Screening Date		PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	,	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		PCW REVISION OCCUBER 30, 2000
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		MATERIAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE P
Violation Number	3	NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
Rule Cite(s)		
	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex, Water Code § 26.3475	(a)
Violation Description	Failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with t	
•	system. Specifically, the annual line leak detector test had not been con-	auctea.
-		
	Base	Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	To a contract of the contract
Release	Major Moderate Minor	and the second s
OR Actual Potential		41
Potential		THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
<u> Lastinia in a la companya di managana di managana di managana di managana di managana di managana di managana</u>	Percent 0%	
	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exce	ed levels
Notes	that are protective of human health or environmental receptors.	
	Adjustment	\$7,500
		\$2,500
		anne anne de contraction de la
Violation Events		
Number of N	Violation Events 1 365 Number of violation of	lavs
Hamber of	Violation Events 1	,0
Tarana and	daily	
***************************************	weekly <u> </u>	
mark only one	monthly Violeties Base	Penalty \$2,500
with an x	quarterly Violation Base semiannual	Penalty \$2,500
generation	annual x	nere
	single event	
Francisco		
One annua	al event is recommended for the 12 month period preceding the January 24,	2011
	investigation date.	
B		
Good Faith Efforts to Com		\$625
WILLIAM TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary	
	Ordinary x (mark with x)	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
101010	Notes The Respondent completed the corrective actions on	· ·
	February 14, 2011, prior to the January 10, 2012 NOE.	NA PARAMETER AND A PARAMETER A
		C. Landa 41 075
	Violation	Subtotal \$1,875
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit	Test
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$130 Violation Final Pena	ity Total \$1,963
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits) \$1,963

3 Item Cost No commas or \$					1	
	.				5.0	Depreciation 15
	vate keguireo	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
no conmas or a	Ī					
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
						\$0
						\$0
L						\$0
						\$0 \$0
B				3V	1491	
ANNUA	TZF III avoided	costs before	enterii			
ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	**********	ng item (except.	for one-time avoid	led costs)
ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before (0.00	ng item (except) \$0	for one-time avoid \$0	led costs) \$0
ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	ng item (except) \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	led costs) \$0 \$0
ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	ng item (except) \$0	for one-time avoid \$0	led costs) \$0
ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
ANNUAL STILLS	IZE [1] avoided		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	ng item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
				0.00 0.00	0.00 \$0	

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN600905392

WIETZIKOSKI, RAYMOND

Classification:

Rating: 1.33

AVERAGE

Regulated Entity:

RN101676377

RAYMONDS SHELL

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 1.33

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK

REGISTRATION

Location:

REGISTRATION

1888

401 S ELLIS ST, GROESBECK, TX, 76642

TCEQ Region:

REGION 09 - WACO

Date Compliance History Prepared:

January 23, 2012

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period:

January 23, 2007 to January 23, 2012

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Nadia Hameed

Phone:

713-767-3629

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3. If YES, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4. If YES, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)?

N/A

5. If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator

N/A

occur?

6. Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator:

NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.

В. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

Chronic excessive emissions events.

The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D.

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

N/A

Environmental audits. F.

N/A

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	
RAYMOND WIETZIKOSKI DBA	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
RAYMONDS SHELL	§	
RN101676377	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-0191-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell ("the Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 401 South Ellis Street in Groesbeck, Limestone County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's three underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about January 15, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Ten Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety-Two Dollars (\$10,992) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in

Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Eight Thousand Seven Hundred Ninety-Four Dollars (\$8,794) of the administrative penalty and Two Thousand One Hundred Ninety-Eight Dollars (\$2,198) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. On February 7, 2011, obtained a valid delivery certificate from the TCEQ; and
 - b. On February 14, 2011, conducted the annual line leak detector test with passing results.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired in October 2007 and was not renewed until February 7, 2011.
- 2. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX.

Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell DOCKET NO. 2012-0191-PST-E Page 3

ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, 15 fuel deliveries were accepted without a valid delivery certificate.

3. Failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on January 24, 2011. Specifically, the annual line leak detector test had not been conducted.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell, Docket No. 2012-0191-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 4. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and

Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell DOCKET NO. 2012-0191-PST-E Page 4

accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under Tex. Bus. Org. Code § 1.002.

5. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell DOCKET NO. 2012-0191-PST-E Page 5

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission For the Executive Director	810112 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the at agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein accepting payment for the penalty amount, is material	entity indicated below my signature, and I a. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in
 I also understand that failure to comply with the and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may a may be a may be	result in: omitted; al's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, a collection agency; actions; Office of any future enforcement actions;
Raymand Wistz Koski	$\frac{5-\mathcal{U}_{-}/\mathcal{V}_{-}}{Date}$
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Raymond Wietzikoski dba Raymonds Shell	Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.